

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| <i>List of Tables, Figures and Boxes</i> | <i>iii</i> |
| <i>Glossary</i> | <i>iv</i> |
| <i>Preamble</i> | <i>v</i> |
| <i>Executive Summary</i> | <i>6</i> |
| 1. METHODOLOGY | 13 |
| 1.1 Evaluation Background | 13 |
| 1.2 Evaluation Design | 14 |
| 2. FINDINGS OF THE REVIEW | 16 |
| 2.1 Relevance | 16 |
| 2.1.1 Changes in the context (Q1) | 16 |
| 2.1.2 Relevance of the changes on the OPs (Q2) | 23 |
| 2.2 Consistency | 28 |
| 2.2.1 Complementarity of the implementation (Q3) | 28 |
| 2.2.2 Coherence with recent major relevant policies/strategies (Q4) | 30 |
| 2.2.3 No actual overlaps (Q5) | 32 |
| 2.3 Effectiveness | 33 |
| 2.3.1 Actual progress in implementation (Q6) | 33 |
| 2.3.2 Progress to date leading to achievement of OP objectives (Q7) | 37 |
| 2.3.3 Factors contributing to the gap between actual and planned performance (Q8) | 39 |
| 2.3.4 The effect of the economic crisis on the implementation progress (Q9) | 43 |
| 2.4 Efficiency | 44 |
| 2.4.1 Management system (Q10) | 44 |
| 2.4.2 Economic crisis affecting efficiency (Q11) | 50 |
| 3. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 52 |
| ANNEX 1 – DETAILED CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 59 |
| ANNEX 2 – TERMS OF REFERENCE | 66 |
| ANNEX 3 – LIST OF PRIORITY AXIS AND KEY AREAS OF INTERVENTION | 73 |
| ANNEX 4 – LIST OF DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THE EVALUATION | 74 |
| ANNEX 5 – LIST OF INTERVIEWS | 75 |
| ANNEX 6 – INTERVIEW GUIDELINE | 76 |
| ANNEX 7 – WORLD BANK’S FUNCTIONAL REVIEWS | 78 |

LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES AND BOXES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 – Freight transport and passenger traffic evolution for road and rail transport | 16 |
| Box 2 - Railway and road sector structures | 17 |
| Box 3 - The financial crisis could trigger long overdue reforms in the transport sector | 17 |
| Figure 4 – Evolution of the drinking water distribution network (2002-08) | 19 |
| Table 5 - Distribution of waste waters by activities of the national economy. | 19 |
| Figure 6 – Evolution of the sewerage networks (2002-08) | 20 |
| Figure 7 – Evolution of the quantitative distribution of the main categories of waste (2004-07) | 20 |
| Table 8 – Evolution of the municipal waste generation..... | 21 |
| Figure 9 – Composition of the household waste (2008) | 21 |
| Table 10 - Quantity of disposed waste on the 101 noncompliant landfills | 22 |
| Figure 11 – Levels of the total emissions of greenhouse gases | 22 |
| Figure 12 - Contribution of economic activities to the total emissions level of greenhouse gases (2008) | 23 |
| Figure 13 – Share of rail transport – comparison with EU-27 | 28 |
| Figure 14 – Modal shift from rail to road in the past 20 years, passengers and freight | 29 |
| Figure 15 – Alternative routes related with different motorway strategies..... | 31 |
| Figure 16 – Differences in plans from November 2008 vs. June 2010 | 33 |
| Table 17 – Process factors influencing progress of the PAs and KAIs for SOP T (%) | 34 |
| Table 18 – Process factors influencing progress of the PAs and KAIs for SOP-ENV (%) | 36 |
| Table 19 – Comparative data on staffing situation among MA SOP T, CNARDNR and CFR | 39 |
| Box 20 – Lessons learned and remaining lessons | 44 |
| Box 21 – Current risk management systems are not effective for early warning | 46 |
| Box 22 – Why don't we have motorways?..... | 47 |
| Box 23 - Lessons learnt from SOP ENV implementation | 49 |

GLOSSARY

| | |
|---------|---|
| ACIS | Authority for Coordination of Structural Instruments |
| ANAR | National Administration <i>Romanian Waters</i> |
| BEUR | Billion Euros |
| CF | Cohesion Fund |
| CFR | National Railways Company |
| CNADNR | National Roads Company |
| EBRD | European Bank for Reconstruction and Development |
| EC | European Commission |
| EIB | European Investment Bank |
| ERDF | European Regional Development Fund |
| EIRR | Economic Internal Rate of Return |
| ESF | European Social Fund |
| EU | European Union |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| IB | Intermediate Body |
| IMF | International Monetary Fund |
| JASPERS | Joint Assistance to Support Projects in European Regions |
| KAI | Key Area of Intervention |
| LCP | Large Combustion Plants |
| MA | Managing Authority |
| MC | Monitoring Committee |
| MEUR | Million Euros |
| MoENV | Ministry of Environment |
| MoPF | Ministry of Public Finance |
| MoT | Ministry of Transport |
| MP | Master Plan |
| MRON | Million Romanian Lei |
| MTEF | Medium Term Expenditure Framework |
| NARMPP | National Authority for Regulating and Monitoring Public Procurement |
| n.a. | Not available |
| NCSC | National Council for Solving Complaints |
| NPV | Net Present Value |
| NRDP | National Rural Development Programme |
| NSRF | National Strategic Reference Framework |
| OP | Operational Programme |
| PA | Priority Axis |
| PIU | Project Implementation Unit |
| SMIS | Single Management Information System |
| SOP ENV | Sectoral Operational Programme Environment |
| SOP T | Sectoral Operational Programme Transport |
| TA | Technical Assistance |
| TEC | Technical and Economic Council |
| TEN-T | Trans-European Transport Network |
| ToR | Terms of Reference |
| TP | Technical Proposal |
| UCVPP | Unit for Coordination and Verification of Public Procurement |



UNIUNEA EUROPEANĂ



GUVERNUL ROMÂNIEI



Instrumente Structurale
2007 - 2013

PREAMBLE

The present evaluation report was prepared in the framework of the Component *Other Evaluations* of the technical assistance (TA) project *Conducting Evaluations for the Period 2009-2010* implemented under the contract *Carrying out Evaluations during the Implementation of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) and the Operational Programme Technical Assistance (OPTA)*, concluded between the Authority for Coordination of Structural Instruments (ACIS) of the Ministry of Public Finance (the 'Contracting Authority') and a consortium composed of KMPG Romania SRL (leader), GEA Strategy & Consulting and Pluriconsult (the 'Consortium').

The report is based on the findings generated by an analysis of the data collected for this study and is presenting the conclusions and recommendations emanating from the findings.

The cut-off date for the data used in the evaluation is 31 August 2010, unless otherwise indicated.