

---

## ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL INDICATORS

---

### OUTPUT INDICATORS

#### 1) INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT PLANS ACCEPTED (NO.)

##### *Multi-criteria analysis scoring*

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

##### **Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, related to urban development.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, integrated urban development plans – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number).
- The indicator is available from the system, based on the contracts signed.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

#### 2) PROJECTS ENSURING IMPROVEMENT OF URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICES, URBAN TRANSPORTATION INCLUSIVELY (NO.)

##### *Multi-criteria analysis scoring*

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

##### **Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, related to urban infrastructure development. Although it can be easily obtained from the system, this indicator should remain to cover the core indicator no. 39.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, projects' implementation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number).
- The indicator is available from the system, based on the contracts signed.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

#### 3) PROJECTS PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT (NO.)

##### *Multi-criteria analysis scoring*

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, related to urban infrastructure development. Although it can be easily obtained from the system, this indicator should remain to cover the core indicator no. 40.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, projects’ implementation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (no.).
- The indicator is available from the system, based on the contracts signed.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

4) BUILDINGS REHABILITATED / MODERNISED AND PREPARED FOR NEW ECONOMIC/SOCIAL ACTIVITIES - URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “*Buildings rehabilitated/modernised and prepared for new economic/social activities (number)*”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, buildings’ rehabilitation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of buildings).
- The indicator is available from progress/final reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

5) AREA OF THE BUILDINGS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED AND PREPARED FOR NEW ECONOMIC/ SOCIAL ACTIVITIES - URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (SQM.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “*Area of the buildings rehabilitated/ modernised and prepared for new economic/ social activities (sqm.)*”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, buildings’ rehabilitation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (rehabilitated area).

- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

6) DEGRADED/ POLLUTED AND UNUSED AREA REHABILITATED/PREPARED FOR NEW ECONOMIC/ SOCIAL ACTIVITIES – URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (SQM.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “*Degraded/polluted and unused area rehabilitated and prepared for new economic/social activities (sqm.)*”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of degraded area– is reflected in the value of the indicator (rehabilitated area).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

7) AREA OF PUBLIC SPACES MODERNISED - URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (SQM.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “*Area of public spaces modernised (sqm.)*”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, modernisation of public spaces – is reflected in the value of the indicator (modernised area).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

8) EQUIPMENTS FOR POPULATION’S SAFETY - URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as *“Equipments for population’s safety (number)”*. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, safety equipment – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of equipments).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

9) BUILDINGS REHABILITATED/MODERNISED AND PREPARED FOR SOCIAL HOUSING - URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as *“Buildings rehabilitated/modernised and prepared for social housing (number)”* and to use with *AC 119 Urban infrastructure*. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, renovation of social housing – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of social houses).
- The indicator is available from progress/final reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

10) AREA OF THE BUILDINGS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED AND PREPARED FOR SOCIAL HOUSING - URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE (SQM.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as *“Area of the*

buildings rehabilitated/ modernised and prepared for social housing *social housing (sqm.)*". This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.

- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of social housing – is reflected in the value of the indicator (renovated area).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

11) LENGTH OF THE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION NETWORK EXTENDED/ REHABILITATED - URBAN TRANSPORTATION (KM)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of urban transportation. The system level recommendation is to re-label as "*Length of public transportation networks extended/rehabilitated (km)*". This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, public transportation network rehabilitation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (length).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

12) RESTORATION/REFURBISHMENT OF PATRIMONY OBJECTIVES AND MODERNISATION OF RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE - LOCAL CULTURAL PATRIMONY IN URBAN AREA (NO.)

---

13) RESTORATION/REFURBISHMENT OF PATRIMONY OBJECTIVES AND MODERNISATION OF RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE - NATIONAL CULTURAL PATRIMONY (NO.)

14) RESTORATION/REFURBISHMENT OF PATRIMONY OBJECTIVES AND MODERNISATION OF RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE - UNESCO PATRIMONY (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on the capitalisation of the cultural patrimony. The system level recommendation is to collapse the three indicators into a single one and to re-label as "*Restoration/refurbishment of patrimony objectives and modernisation of related infrastructure (number)*", and use with AC 112 UNESCO patrimony, AC 113

National cultural patrimony, AC 114 Local cultural patrimony in urban area. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.

- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, capitalisation of cultural patrimony – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of objectives).
- The indicator is available from progress/final reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

15) NEW BUSINESS SUPPORT STRUCTURES CREATED (NO.)

16) BUSINESS SUPPORT STRUCTURES EXTENDED/ MODERNISED (NO.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on business support. The system level recommendation is to collapse the two indicators into a single one and to re-label as “Business support structures, new created and extended/ modernised(number)”, and use with AC 109 Business infrastructure. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, creation/modernisation of business structures – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of business structures).
- The indicator is available from progress/final reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

17) NEW CREATED USABLE AREA OF BUSINESS STRUCTURES (SQM.)

18) USABLE AREA EXTENDED/ MODERNISED OF BUSINESS STRUCTURES (SQM.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on business support. The system level recommendation is to collapse the two indicators into a single one and to re-label as “Re-label as “Usable area of business structures: new created and extended/modernised (sqm.)” and use with AC 109 Business infrastructure. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, creation/modernisation of business structures – is reflected in the value of the indicator (area of business structures).

- The indicator is available from progress/final reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

19) SOCIAL CENTRES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED / EQUIPPED – SOCIAL SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 1.1, focused on improvement of social infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “*Social centres rehabilitated/ modernised / equipped (number)*”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of social centres – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of social centres).
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries from final reports.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

20) LENGTH OF ROAD REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED (OUTSIDE TEN – T) - COUNTY ROADS (KM)

---

21) LENGTH OF ROADS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED (OUTSIDE TEN – T) – STREETS IN URBAN AREA (KM)

22) LENGTH OF ROAD REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED (OUTSIDE TEN – T) - BYPASSING ROADS (KM)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 2.1, focused on improvement of urban transportation. The system level recommendation is to collapse the three indicators into one and re-label as “*Length of road rehabilitated/modernised (outside TEN-T0 (km))*” and use with AC 100 County roads, AC101 City streets, AC 502 Bypassing roads. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, roads rehabilitation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (length).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.

- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

23) LENGTH OF ROAD NEWLY BUILT (OUTSIDE TEN – T) - BYPASSING ROADS (KM)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 2.1, focused on improvement of urban transportation. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “*Length of road newly built (outside TEN-T0 (km))*”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, roads rehabilitation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (length).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

24) LENGTH OF ROAD REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED (OUTSIDE TEN-T) WITH BIKE LANE – COUNTY ROADS (KM)

25) LENGTH OF ROAD REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED (OUTSIDE TEN-T) WITH BIKE LANE - STREETS IN URBAN AREA (KM)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 2.1, focused on improvement of urban transportation. The system level recommendation is to collapse the three indicators into one and re-label as “*Length of road rehabilitated/modernised (outside TEN-T) with bike lane (km)*” and use with AC 100 County roads, AC101 City streets. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, roads rehabilitation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (length).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

26) MEDICAL UNITS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/EQUIPPED – TOTAL – OF WHICH: (NO.)

27) MEDICAL UNITS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/EQUIPPED, HOSPITALS (NO.)



28) MEDICAL UNITS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/EQUIPPED, AMBULATORIES (NO.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 3.1, focused on improvement of health infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to collapse the three indicators in one and to re-label as “*Medical units rehabilitated/ modernised/equipped: hospitals / ambulatories (number)*” and to use with AC 103 Health infrastructure. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of medical units – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of units).
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries from final reports.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

29) SOCIAL CENTRES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/ EXTENDED/EQUIPPED, OF WHICH (NO.)

---

30) SOCIAL CENTRES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/ EXTENDED/EQUIPPED, MULTI-FUNCTIONAL (NO.)

31) SOCIAL CENTRES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/ EXTENDED/EQUIPPED, RESIDENTIAL (NO.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 3.2, focused on improvement of social infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to collapse the three indicators in one and re-label as “*Social centres rehabilitated/modernised/ equipped: multi-functional / residential (number)*” and to use with AC 104 Social services infrastructure. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of social centres – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of social centres).
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries from final reports.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

32) MOBILE UNITS EQUIPPED FOR EMERGENCY SITUATIONS (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 3.3, focused on interventions in emergency situations.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, equipment of mobile units – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of units).
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries from final reports.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

33) EDUCATION UNITS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/EQUIPPED - INFRASTRUCTURE FOR PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION (NO.)

---

34) EDUCATION UNITS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/EQUIPPED - INFRASTRUCTURE FOR UNIVERSITY EDUCATION (NO.)

35) EDUCATION UNITS REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/EQUIPPED - INFRASTRUCTURE FOR CONTINUOUS VOCATIONAL TRAINING (NO.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 3.4, focused on improvement of education infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to collapse the three indicators in one and re-label as “*Education units rehabilitated/modernised/ equipped (number)*” and to use with AC 105 Infrastructure for continuous vocational training, AC 107 Infrastructure for education – pre-university, AC 108 - Infrastructure for education –university. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of education units – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of units).
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries from final reports.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

36) CAMPUSES REHABILITATED MODERNISED/EXTENDED /EQUIPPED - INFRASTRUCTURE FOR PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION (NO.)

---

37) CAMPUSES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED/ EXTENDED/EQUIPPED – INFRASTRUCTURE FOR UNIVERSITY EDUCATION (NO.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 3.4, focused on improvement of education infrastructure. The system level recommendation is to collapse the two indicators in one and re-label as “*Campuses rehabilitated/modernised/ equipped (number)*” and to use with AC 107 Infrastructure for education – pre-university, AC 108 - Infrastructure for education –university. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of campuses – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number of campuses).
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries from final reports.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

38) BUSINESS SUPPORT STRUCTURES NEW CREATED (NO.)

39) Business support structures extended/ modernised (no.)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 4.1, focused on business support. The system level recommendation is to collapse the two indicators in one and re-label as “*Business support structures new created and extended/modernised (number)*” and to use with AC 109 Business infrastructure. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, implementation of projects supporting the creation/extension/modernisation of business support structures – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number).
- The indicator is available from final reports received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

40) NEW CREATED USABLE AREA OF BUSINESS STRUCTURES (SQM.)

41) EXTENDED/ MODERNISED AREA OF BUSINESS STRUCTURES (SQM.)

SEE INDICATORS 17, 18

42) POLLUTED AND UNUSED INDUSTRIAL SITES REHABILITATED AND PREPARED FOR NEW ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES (HA)

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 4.2, focused on improvement of industrial sites. The system level recommendation is to re-label as “**Degraded/polluted and unused area rehabilitated and prepared for new economic/social activities (sqm.)**”. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, rehabilitation of polluted industrial sites – is reflected in the value of the indicator (rehabilitated area).
- The indicator is available from progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

43) MICRO-ENTERPRISES FINANCIALLY ASSISTED (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 4.3.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, implementation of projects supporting micro-enterprises – is reflected in the value of the indicator.
- The indicator is available from final reports received from beneficiaries. It can also be estimated based on the contracts signed.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

44) PROJECTS IN TOURISM (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 5.1, related tourism development. Although it can be easily obtained from the system, this indicator should remain to cover the core indicator no. 34.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, projects’ implementation – is reflected in the value of the indicator (no.).
- The indicator is available from the system, based on the contracts signed.

- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

45) RESTORATION/REFURBISHMENT OF PATRIMONY OBJECTIVES AND MODERNISATION OF RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE – LOCAL CULTURAL PATRIMONY IN THE URBAN AREA (NO.)

---

46) RESTORATION/REFURBISHMENT OF PATRIMONY OBJECTIVES AND MODERNISATION OF RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE – NATIONAL CULTURAL PATRIMONY (NO.)

47) RESTORATION/REFURBISHMENT OF PATRIMONY OBJECTIVES AND MODERNISATION OF RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE - UNESCO PATRIMONY (NO.)

SEE INDICATORS 12, 13, 14

48) PROJECTS IN TOURISM (NO.)

---

SEE INDICATOR 44

49) TOURISM STRUCTURES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED AND EXTENDED - TOURISM ACCOMMODATION INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

---

50) NEW CREATED TOURISM STRUCTURES –TOURISM RECREATION INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

51) TOURISM STRUCTURES REHABILITATED/ MODERNISED AND EXTENDED - TOURISM RECREATION INFRASTRUCTURE (NO.)

#### **Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

#### **Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 5.2, focused on tourism development. The system level recommendation is to collapse the two indicators in one and re-label as “*Tourism structures new and rehabilitated/modernised/ extended (number)*” and to use with AC 115 Tourism recreation infrastructure, AC 116 Tourism accommodation infrastructure. This change would not affect the quality of the information the indicator conveys but would improve manageability.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, implementation of projects supporting the tourism structures – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number).
- The indicator is available from final reports received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

52) NATURAL SIGHTS REFURBISHED – TOURISM INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THE CAPITALISATION OF NATURAL RESOURCES (NO.)

---

### Multi-criteria analysis scoring

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

#### Comments:

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 5.2, focused on tourism development. The system analysis recommends re-labelling as “*Natural sights refurbished*”, to allow the use of AC.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, implementation of projects improving the tourism sights – is reflected in the value of the indicator (number).
- The indicator is available from final reports received from beneficiaries.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

53) SMES FINANCIALLY ASSISTED – TOURISM ACCOMMODATION INFRASTRUCTURE(NO.)

54) SMES FINANCIALLY ASSISTED – TOURISM RECREATION INFRASTRUCTURE(NO.)

---

### Multi-criteria analysis scoring

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

#### Comments:

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the output of interventions under KAI 5.2. The system analysis recommends re-labelling as “*SMEs financially assisted (number)*” and use with AC 115 Tourism recreation infrastructure, AC 116 tourism accommodation infrastructure.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time, in the sense that any change in the activity it monitors – in this case, implementation of projects supporting SMEs – is reflected in the value of the indicator.
- The indicator is available from final reports received from beneficiaries. It can also be estimated based on the contracts signed.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

55) CAMPAIGNS FOR THE PROMOTION OF THE TOURISM BRAND (NO.)

---

### Multi-criteria analysis scoring

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

#### Comments

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions financing the organization and development of promotion campaigns. The system analysis recommends re-labelling as “*Campaigns for the promotion of the tourism brand at national and international level (number)*” and use with AC 118 Promotion of the tourism potential.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the activity is completed.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring.

#### 56) TOURISM INFORMATION AND PROMOTION CENTRES (NO.)

---

##### **Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

##### **Comments**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions of KAI 5.3, focused on tourism promotion.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the project implementation.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from the final report.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring.

#### 57) INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MATERIALS (NO.)

---

##### **Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

##### **Comments**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions financing the elaboration of publicity and information materials. The newly proposed measurement unit (*number of copies*) is more suitable to reflect the purpose for collecting the indicator, and ensures consistency within OPs.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the activity is completed. It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

#### 58) STUDIES, ANALYSES, REPORTS, STRATEGIES (NO.)

---

##### **Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	2	3	3

**Comments:**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the results of interventions under KAI 6.1, focused on providing technical assistance for the programme management and implementation.
- The indicator is moderate sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress only after the project is completed.
- The indicator is available from beneficiaries, with some delay after the project implementation.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

59) PARTICIPANTS AT TRAINING SESSIONS (IB/MA STAFF, BENEFICIARIES AND POTENTIAL BENEFICIARIES) (NO.)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
1	3	3	3

**Comments**

- The indicator is less relevant for measuring the outputs TA projects dedicated to training MA, IBs and beneficiaries personnel of POR, than the indicator “Participant training days (no.)” (see below).
- The indicator is available from the Beneficiary, through progress reports and at the end of the project.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring.

60) PARTICIPANT TRAINING DAYS (NUMBER)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs TA projects dedicated to training MA and beneficiaries’ personnel of POR, financed under KAI 6.1, being especially useful at reflecting both the number of participants to training and training complexity (measured by the proxy number of training days).
- The indicator is available from the Beneficiary, through progress reports and at the end of the project.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring.

61) MEETINGS OF RELEVANT COMMITTEES AND WORKING-GROUPS (NUMBER)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
-----------	-------------	--------------	------



3	3	3	3
---	---	---	---

**Comments**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of TA projects dedicated to organizing meetings of working groups or of the MC, dedicated to the coordination of POR.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and it directly captures its progress over time. Any change in the variable it monitors – in this case the organization of meetings - is immediately reflected in the value of the indicator (number of meetings).
- The indicator is available from the Beneficiary, through progress reports and can be updated every time new reports are received.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring.

62) EVENTS FOCUSED ON EXPERIENCE EXCHANGE RELATED TO FUNDS IMPLEMENTATION AND THEMATIC ASPECTS (NUMBER)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

**Comments**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions financing the organization of or participation to all types of events focused on exchange of best practices and thematic aspects.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the activity is completed. It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

63) COMMUNICATION AND PROMOTION EVENTS (NUMBER)

---

**Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
1	3	3	3

**Comments**

- The indicator is partially relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions financing the organization of communication and promotion activities, since it does not capture the magnitude of the events and the number of participants. Since the purpose of the events is to disseminate information to as many persons as possible, a more relevant indicator would be "*Participants at events organized*".
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the activity is completed. It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received. Due to this, it has limited collection costs.

#### 64) GUIDELINES AND OTHER METHODOLOGICAL DOCUMENTS (NO.)

---

##### **Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
2	3	3	3

##### **Comments**

- The indicator is partially relevant for measuring the outputs of KAI. 6.2, due to the fact that “other instruments” are not included in the indicator’s name. A more relevant name would be “*Guidelines and other methodological instruments*”, which reflects exactly the outputs referring to the elaboration of guidelines, methodological documents and other instruments.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the activity is completed.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring, at the level of the MA/ACIS.

#### 65) INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MATERIALS (NO.)

---

SEE INDICATOR 57

#### 66) MASS-MEDIA CAMPAIGNS (NUMBER)

---

##### **Multi-criteria analysis scoring**

Relevance	Sensitivity	Availability	Cost
3	3	3	3

##### **Comments**

- The indicator is relevant for measuring the outputs of interventions financing the organization and development of mass media campaigns.
- The indicator is very sensitive to the intervention and will capture its progress immediately after the activity is completed.
- It is easily available from beneficiaries, from progress and final reports and can be updated by the MA as soon as reports are received.
- The cost associated to collecting, monitoring and reporting this indicator is low, since no additional resources are needed for its usage, except for the operational costs resulting from reporting requirements at project level and for OP monitoring.