QUESTIONNAIRE FOR DIRECT INTERVIEWS – SAPARD BENEFICIARIES

GENERAL DATA

NAME OF BENEFICIARY

FORM OF MANAGEMENT

Direct management by the leader (solely or mainly with family member workforce)

Direct management by the leader (solely or mainly with workforce outside the family)

Management by employees

Other management form **D**

BENEFICIARY'S YEAR OF BIRTH (NATURAL PERSONS)

BENEFICIARY'S CAPACITY

BENEFICIARY'S GENDER (NATURAL PERSONS)

M 🗆 F 🗖

BENEFICIARY'S EDUCATION (NATURAL PERSONS)

None 🗖

Elementary school

Middle school

High school 🗖

University studies 🗖

Post university studies

Other (please specify) ___

LEGAL FORM

Sole proprietorship/joint venture

General partnership **D**

L	im	ited	partnership	
-		1100	partitionormp	-

Limited liability undertaking

Joint stock undertaking 🗖

Cooperative undertaking \Box

Consortium 🗖

MAIN LINE OF BUSINESS

ADDRESS, PHONE, FAX AND E-MAIL

DOES YOUR UNDERTAKING HAVE INTERNET CONNECTION?	
Yes	
No	
I don't know / I can't answer	

	PROJECT DATA			
1.	THE UNDERTAKING WAS:			
	Newly established upon accessing the aid			
	Taken over from another farmer			

DRA IFAT DATA

2.	DID YOU APPLY FOR OTHER SAPARD PROGRAMME MEASURES?	
	Yes	
	No	

3. IF YES, COULD YOU SPECIFY THE OTHER SAPARD MEASURE(S) YOU APPLIED FOR, AND WHAT WAS THE INVESTMENT VALUE?

A) ______ MEASURE INVESTMENT VALUE _____ PUBLIC FINANCE GRANTED **I YES NO I DON'T KNOW** B) ______ MEASURE INVESTMENT VALUE _____ PUBLIC FINANCE GRANTED **I YES NO I DON'T KNOW**

	C) MEASURE	
	INVESTMENT VALUE	
	PUBLIC FINANCE GRANTED LI YES LI NO LI IDON I KNOW	
4.	HOW DID YOU SUBMIT THE FINANCING APPLICATION?	
	Individually	
	Via a specialized association	
	Via a consultant /consulting undertaking	
5.	IF YOU DID NOT SUBMIT THE APPLICATION INDIVIDUALLY, COULD YOU HAVE SUBM OTHERWISE?	ITTED IT
	Yes	
	No	
	DATA REFERRING TO THE HOLDING AND TYPE OF ACTIVITY CARRIED OUT	
_		
6.	PLEASE INDICATE THE TOTAL AREA OF THE HOLDING IN HECTARES	
	Hectares	
7.	PLEASE INDICATE THE TOTAL AREA USED	
	Hectares	
	A) WHICH IS YOUR UNDERTAKING'S PREVAILING ACTIVITY?	
	Growing of cereals, oleaginous crops	
	Growing of flowers and ornamental plants	
	Growing of vegetables (even in open field)	
	Growing of wine grapes	
	Growing of fruits	
	Solely farming of animals	
	Growing of crops together with farming of animals	
	Mixed agriculture/Other, please specify	
8.	PLEASE INDICATE THE REVENUES OF YOUR HOLDING BY CHOOSING ONE OF THE C BELOW	LASSES
	Up to € 5,000.00	
	Between € 5,000.00 and € 15,000.00	
	Between € 15,000.00 and € 25,000.00	
	Between € 15,000.00 and € 25,000.00 Between € 25,000.00 and € 35,000.00	

	Between € 50,000.00 and € 75,000.00	
	Over € 75,000.00	
9.	WHERE APPLICABLE, PLEASE SPECIFY HOW MUCH HAS THE INCOME OF YOUR HOLDING INCREASED PURSUANT TO THE SAPARD FINANCING?	
10.	DOES YOUR HOLDING ACHIEVE ACKNOWLEDGED QUALITY BRANDED PRODUCTS, FOR EXAMPLE ECOLOGICAL PRODUCTS?	

Yes	
No	

11. IF YES, WHAT IS THEIR PERCENTAGE OUT OF THE TOTAL REVENUES? Up to 10% □ Between 10 and 25% □ Between 26 and 50% □ Over 50% □

SPECIAL QUESTIONS REFERRING TO THE INVESTMENTS BASED ON THE SAPARD PROGRAMME

12.	IF YOU HAD NOT THIS ACTIVITY?	RECEIVED	THIS	FINANCING,	WOULD	YOU	HAVE	STILL	PROCEEDED	WITH
	Yes									

No	
I don't know / I can't answer	

13. PLEASE INDICATE THE TOTAL VOLUME OF INVESTMENTS MADE IN THE HOLDING SINCE ESTABLISHMENT UNTIL TODAY

Amount

€_____

14. OUT OF THE TOTAL INVESTMENTS, WHAT WAS THE PERCENTAGE OF THE SAPARD GRANT?

%

15.	DID YOU HAVE TO RESORT TO A CREDIT INSTITUTION TO MAKE THE INVESTMENTS?				
	Yes, the loan has already been repaid				
	Yes, the loan is still in progress				
	No				

16. WHAT TYPE OF INVESTMENTS HAVE YOU ACHIEVED? Agricultural buildings and lands Machinery and equipment Land reclamation works Intangible investments Forest area enlargement investments Investments aimed at increasing the number of localities with access to forest roads Investments aimed at enlarging the private property areas managed in accordance with the management plans Investments aimed at increasing the productivity in the forest holding sector 17.

17. WHERE POSSIBLE, INDICATE THE DIRECT AND INDIRECT POSITIVE EFFECTS OF THE FINANCING ACTIONS. PROVIDE A DETAILED DESCRIPTION THEREOF

WORKFORCE

 18.
 Has the investment entailed staff employment, or increase in the number of associates/family members, etc. involved in the undertaking's activity?

 Yes
 □

No

19. HAS THE INVESTMENT BROUGHT ANY CHANGES TO THE QUALITY STRUCTURE OF THE STAFF?

- Staff members with higher education
- Female workforce
- Young workforce

20. WHAT WAS THE REASON FOR THE CHANGES TO THE QUALITY AND QUANTITY STRUCTURE OF THE STAFF?

- Acquisition of IT technologies
- Automation/mechanization of processes
- Product/service improvement
- Other /please specify ______

QUESTIONS REGARDING THE BACKGROUNDS

21. How did you find the announcements promoting the sapard programme?

Specialized associations	
Public servants	
Local publicity	
Newspapers	
Internet	
Consultants	
TV	
Other holdings	
Other (please specify)	

22.	HOW WAS THE OBTAINED INFORMATION?	
	Very good	
	Good	
	Sufficient	
	Scarce	
23.	DID YOU ENCOUNTER ANY DIFFICULTIES IN THE RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE AUTHORITIES DURING THE BUREAUCRATIC PROCEDURE OF FINANCING MANAGEME	
	Yes	
	No	
	I don't know / I can't answer	

24. IF YES, WHAT KIND OF DIFFICULTIES DID YOU ENCOUNTER? (YOU MAY CHOOSE SEVERAL ANSWERS)

Application submittal costs were too high	
Time required to obtain the financing was too long	
Insufficient support and assistance from the institutions during the application submittal phase	
Too many restrictions in relation to the types of investments as against the holding needs	
Other (please specify)	

QUALITY AND MARKETING

25. WHERE RELEVNT, PLEASE SPECIFY HOW MUCH WAS THE ADDED VALUE OF THE PRODUCTS IMPROVED IN RELATION TO THE ASSISTED PROCESSING LINES AND DISTRIBUTION DUE TO SAPARD FINANCING?

26.	HOW IS THE UNDERTAKING'S PRODUCT MANAGED?	
	Fully sold Intermediary situation - % sold % self-consumed % in favor agro-tourism activities	
27.	HOW IS THE UNDERTAKING'S PRODUCT SOLD?	
	Conventional product (%) Product biologically certified upon sale (%) Quality branded product (%)	
28.	HOW DO YOU SELL YOUR PRODUCTS?	
	Directly from the undertaking Via manufacturer organizations Via commercial intermediaries (wholesale, retail) Other (please specify)	
29.	THE MARKET OF YOUR PRODUCTS IS:	
	Local Regional National European Extra-European	
30.	PLEASE SPECIFY WHETHER THE INVESTMENT HAS BROUGHT ANY CHANGES	S TO THE:
	Typology of undertaking's products Quality of agricultural crops Product marketing process Other (please specify)	
31.	PLEASE SPECIFY TO WHAT EXTEND HAS THE INVESTMENT CHANGED PRESENCE AND/OR YOUR DISTRIBUTION/COMMERCIAL ORGANIZATION?	
	Quite a lot Somewhat	
	Just a little	

Not at all

32.	CO	NSISTE		TWEEN	NERAL	TIONAL	ATION AGRICL	(ON A	POLIC			10) OF THE (IGENCIES OF
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11
											-	

33. DO YOU HAVE ANY PROPOSALS/SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE ENCOUNTERED PROBLEMS?

SPECIAL QUESTIONS ABO	OUT THE MAIN RESULTS (OF THE P ROGRAMME
-----------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

MEASU PRODU	JRE 1.1 "IMPROVEMENT OF PROCESSING AND MARKETING OF AGRICULTURAL AND FISHERY ICTS"
1.	HAVE THE INVESTMENTS MADE CONTRIBUTED TO THE IMPROVEMENT AND STREAMLINING OF THE PROCESSING AND MARKETING OF THE SPECIFIC PRODUCTS?
Quite a	a lot (explain)
Some	what (explain)
Just a	little (explain)
Not at	all (explain)
2.	HAVE YOU NOTICED ANY INCREASE IN THE INVESTMENT VOLUME PURSUANT TO THE IMPROVED PRODUCT QUALITY?
YES %	6 (explain)
NO %	(explain)
3.	HAVE THE INVESTMENTS MADE IN RELATION TO THE SANITARY VETERINARY AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CONTROL IMPROVED THE UNDERTAKING'S INTERNAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM?
YES (e	explain)
NO (e:	xplain)
4.	HAVE THE MODERNIZATIONS PERFORMED AND CHANGES MADE ENTAILED ECONOMIES OF SCALE (COST REDUCTION VIA PRODUCTION INCREASE) AT UNDERTAKING LEVEL?
Quite a	a lot (explain)
Some	what (explain)
Just a	little (explain)
Not at	all (explain)
5.	CAN THE MODERNIZATIONS BROUGHT AND NEW TECHNOLOGIES PURCHASED BE USED IN THE FUTURE AS WELL?
Ī	

Just a little (explain)				
Not at all (explain)				
6. TO WHAT EXTENT HAVE THE FINANCED INVESTMENTS FACILITATED THE ALIGNMENT TO EU SANITARY VETERINARY STANDARDS?				
Quite a lot (explain)				
Somewhat (explain)				
Just a little (explain)				
Not at all (explain)				
7. TO WHAT EXTENT HAVE THE FINANCED INVESTMENTS FACILITATED A HIGHER PRODUCTIVITY (MORE EFFICIENT USE OF THE PRODUCTION FACTORS)?				
Quite a lot (explain)				
Somewhat (explain)				
Just a little (explain)				
Not at all (explain)				
8. A RE THE PROJECT RESULTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE DEFINED UPON THEIR PRESENTATION, AND WHY?				
YES (explain)				
NO (ovolain)				
NO (explain)				
MEASURE 1.2 "IMPROVING THE STRUCTURES FOR QUALITY, VETERINARY AND PLANT-HEALTH CONTROL, FOR THE QUALITY OF FOOD STUFFS AND FOR CONSUMER PROTECTION"				
9. HAVE THE INVESTMENTS MADE INCREASED THE QUALITY STANDARD OF THE VETERINARY AND PLANT-HEALTH AND FOOD QUALITY CONTROL?				
Quite a lot (explain)				
Somewhat (explain)				
Just a little (explain)				
Not at all (explain)				
10. HAVE THE NEWLY ADOPTED PROCEDURES BEEN ALREADY CONSOLIDATED AND STANDARDIZED?				
Quite a lot (explain)				
Somewhat (explain)				
10 Ecosfera V.I.C. S.r.I/ Agriculture Capital & Engineering				

Just a little (explain)
Not at all (explain)
11. ARE THE PROJECT RESULTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE DEFINED UPON THEIR PRESENTATION, AND WHY?
YES (explain)
NO (explain)
Measure 2.1 "Development and improvement of rural infrastructure"
12. ARE THE NEWLY ACHIEVED INFRASTRUCTURES CONSISTENT WITH THE PRIORITY NEEDS OF THE TARGETED AREA?
Quite a lot (explain)
Somewhat (explain)
Just a little (explain)
Not at all (explain)
13. DO YOU SEE ANY IMPROVEMENT IN THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF THE TARGETED AREA POPULATION?
YES (explain)
NO (explain)
14. DO YOU SEE ANY IMPROVEMENT IN THE COMPETITIVENESS OF THE AREAS CONCERNED BY THE INVESTMENT?
YES (explain)
NO (explain)
15. DO YOU SEE ANY IMPROVEMENT IN THE WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT?
YES (explain)
NO (explain)
16. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT MAINTAINING THE ACHIEVED INFRASTRUCTURE IS AN EASY TASK, OR TOO MUCH OF A BURDEN IN TERMS OF HUMAN AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO INVEST, AND WHY ?

17.	DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE FINANCED INVESTMENT INCREASED ATTRACTIVENESS OF VILLAGES FOR CITIZENS AND ENTERPRISES?
YES (e	explain)
NO (e)	kplain)
18.	ARE THE PROJECT RESULTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE DEFINED UPON THEIR PRESENTATION, AND WHY?
YES (e	explain)
NO (e)	kplain)
MEASU	IRE 3.1 "INVESTMENTS IN AGRICULTURAL HOLDINGS"
19.	DID THE HOLDING REVENUES INCREASE PURSUANT TO THE PROJECT?
Yes %	
No %_	
20.	IN YOUR OPINION, HAS THE MODERNIZATION OF THE PRODUCTION CONDITIONS BEEN CONDUCIVE TO INCREASED PRODUCTIVITY OF YOUR HOLDING?
Quite a	a lot (explain)
Somew	what (explain)
Just a	little (explain)
Not at	all (explain)
21.	IN YOUR OPINION, HAS THE MODERNIZATION OF THE PRODUCTION CONDITIONS BEEN CONDUCIVE TO INCREASED PRODUCTION OF YOUR HOLDING?
Quite a	a lot (explain)
Some	vhat (explain)
Just a	little (explain)
Not at	all (explain)
22.	IN YOUR OPINION, HAVE THE INVESTMENTS MADE FACILITATED THE ADOPTION OF ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIEDLY WORK METHODS?
Quite a	a lot (explain)
Some	what (explain)
Just a	little (explain)

Not	at	all	lexp	lain`)
1.00	u	an		iuni,	/

23. ARE THE PROJECT RESULTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE DEFINED UPON THEIR PRESENTATION, AND WHY?

YES (explain)_____

NO (explain)___

Measure 3.4 "Development and diversification of economic activities, generating multiple activities and alternative incomes"

24. HAS THE DIVERSIFICATION OF UNDERTAKING'S ACTIVITY CONTRIBUTED TO HIGHER INCOME?

YES (explain)_____

NO (explain)

25. HAVE THE DEVELOPMENT AND DIVERSIFICATION OF UNDERTAKING'S ACTIVITY CONTRIBUTED TO THE CREATION OF NEW JOBS?

YES (explain)_____

NO (explain)_____

26. WILL THE DIVERSIFICATION OF YOUR UNDERTAKING'S PRODUCTION CONTINUE IN THE FUTURE AS WELL?

YES (explain)

NO (explain)_____

27. ARE THE PROJECT RESULTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE DEFINED UPON THEIR PRESENTATION, AND WHY?

YES (explain)_____

NO (explain)_____

MEASURE 3.5 "FORESTRY"

28. How has the achieved project contributed to maintain and develop forest resources of romania?

29. HAS THE PROJECT HAVE ANY IMPACT ON THE OUTSKIRTS AREA AS WELL, BRINGING IMPROVEMENTS, BOOSTING ITS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND ENTAILING A GENERAL INCREASE IN THE STANDARD OF LIVING OF ITS INHABITANTS?
Quite a lot (explain)
Somewhat (explain)
Just a little (explain)
Not at all (explain)
30. IN YOUR OPINION, WILL THE MAINTAINING OF THE FOREST MANAGEMENT RELATED EUROPEAN STANDARDS ADD AN ACTUAL VALUE TO THIS AREA?
YES (explain)
NO (explain)
31. ARE THE PROJECT RESULTS DIFFERENT FROM THOSE DEFINED UPON THEIR PRESENTATION, AND WHY?
YES (explain)
NO (explain)
Note:
Due to the low expenditure level, which was deemed insufficient to generate a

Due to the low expenditure level, which was deemed insufficient to generate a significant impact, the beneficiaries of Measures 3.2 and 3.3 were not interviewed. The beneficiaries of Measure 4.1 were not interviewed due to the fact that the time span since their participation to courses was too long, the relevance of their answers being therefore low.