Annex C. Data collection tools and project selection

The implementation of LIOP energy (PA 6, PA 7 and PA 8) remains low at the stage of the present evaluation. Significant reallocations have been done across PAs and SOs in the LIOP by end-2020, to ensure contracts can be finalized by end-2023, most notably on SO 8.2 – extension of distribution grids, 235 mn EUR. Also, a large number of contracts are under evaluation on the existing allocations. The present evaluation focuses only on projects under implementation, i.e. projects for which a financing contract was signed. These are summarized in Table 1.3 of the main report, below:

	Project title	Beneficiary	SMIS	
SO 6.1	SO 6.1 – Increasing production of energy from renewable and less-exploited sources (biomass, biogas,			
geothe	ermal)			
1.	Upgrading of the 20 kV overhead line (OHL) Mofleşti – Melineşti and the	Distribuție Energie	122825	
	20 kV branch axis Fratostita and Pojaru, Dolj County to increase the	Oltenia S.A.		
	distribution capacity for taking over the power delivered by the			
	photovoltaic power plants			
2.	Upgrading of the 20kV OHL Axes Parangu – Sadu and 2B – Novaci and	Distribuție Energie	127410	
	of the 20kV OHL Axis Carbunesti – Novaci, in order to increase the	Oltenia S.A.		
	distribution capacity for taking over the power delivered by the Low			
-	Power Hydroelectric Power Plants in the N-E area of Gorj County		107000	
3.	Upgrading of transformer stations under the management of Delgaz Grid	Delgaz Grid	127686	
	in order to take over the electricity produced from renewable sources in			
	sate conditions of operation at SEN – Huși, Stanilești, Vetrișoala, Falciu,			
4	Ingrading of transformer stations of E ON Distributio Domania S A	Dolgoz Crid	105701	
4.	building additional capacity into the electrical network upstream of the	Deigaz Grid	105731	
	connection point so it can bandle the electricity produced from repowable			
	resources in safe conditions of S E N - Unit 110 / 20k// Hirlay, Unit 110 /			
	20kV Pascani Unit 110 / 20kV Gorban			
5	Combining geothermal energy with beating numps to produce thermal	Oradea	115839	
0.	agent for heating and hot water for Nufarul LArea. Oradea	Municipality	110000	
6.	Increasing the production of thermal energy based on geothermal water	Beius Municipality	127641	
0.	in Beius			
7.	Construction of the biomass thermal energy production unit and the	Maieru Village	119846	
	thermal energy distribution network in Maieru			
8.	Increasing the production of energy from less exploited renewable	Salonta	125691	
	resources obtained in the Salonta geothermal perimeter	Municipality		
SO 6.2	2 – Reducing energy consumption at industrial consumers			
9.	Implementation of a system for monitoring energy consumption	SORTILEMN SA	105740	
	(electricity, heat, compressed air) at the level of SC Sortilemn SA			
10.	Intelligent energy consumption monitoring system within Yazaki	Yazaki	106581	
	Component Technology Romania	Component		
		Technology S.R.L.		
11.	Smart metering application for utility consumption and production	Vel Pitar S.A.	106965	
12.	Intelligent energy consumption monitoring system within Antibiotice SA	Antibiotice S.A.	109717	
13.	Reducing energy consumption at the level of SC Zoppas SRL by	Zoppas S.R.L.	111829	
	implementing a high-performance monitoring system			
14.	Implementation of an energy consumption monitoring system at AZUR	AZUR S.A.	116222	
	S.A.			
15.	Smart metering utility consumption application	COMELF S.A.	117803	
16.	Intelligent energy consumption monitoring system within CIECH Soda	CIECH Soda	117977	
	Romania S.A.	Romania S.A.		
17.	Development of the energy consumption monitoring system at	Hammerer	118591	
	Hammerer Aluminum Industries Santana S.R.L.	Aluminum		

		Industries	
		Santana S.R.L.	
18.	Technical solution study – energy consumption monitoring system	Infopress	118973
19.	Implementation of advanced metering system with on-line monitoring to reduce energy consumption at Takata Romania SRL	Takata Romania SRL	120195
20.	Intelligent energy consumption monitoring system within CEMACON SA	CEMACON SA	127985
21.	Advanced metering system for reducing energy consumption at CELCO	CELCO S.A.	128259
	SA – Lime Factory		
22.	Implementation of energy consumption monitoring systems for industrial consumers	Heineken S.A.	128334
23.	Energy consumption monitoring system within S.C. Meat Industrialization KOSAROM S A	KOSAROM S.A.	130415
SO 63	B – Reducing average power consumption of households		
24	Implementation of intelligent measurement system in Craiova, central	Distributie Oltenia	114790
27.	area (partially) and Sărari (approx, 10,000 consumers from Craiova)	Distribuție Olienia	114750
25	Implementation of an intelligent distribution monitoring system in a		117855
20.	homogeneous area of predominantly household electricity consumers	DELOAL	117055
SOG	1 – Increasing savings of the consumption of primary energy produced by his	h-efficiency co-gener	ration
SU 0.4		gn-eniciency co-gene	allon
26	Increasing the operational energy efficiency at SC AMBRO S A Successo		115900
20.	by implementing a high efficiency cogeneration installation	AIVIDINO S.A.	113800
27	Optimization of primary operation within CEMACON S.A. by		110201
21.	installing a high officioney cognoration plant	CEMACON S.A.	119391
SO 7			
307.	Pehabilitation of the district heating system in Oradeo for the period	Oradaa	109460
20.	Renabilitation of the district heating system in Oracea for the period	Musicinality	106460
	2009-2028, to comply with environmental legislation and increase energy	municipality	
20	eniciency – Stage II Debebilitation of the district heating system in Eccessi Municipality for	Faccari	114045
29.	the period 2000, 20 to comply with equirenmental legislation and	rucșani Municipality	114040
	ine pendu 2009–28 to comply with environmental legislation and	municipality	
20	Increase energy eniciency – Stage II	lesi Musisinglitu	445050
30.	Renabilitation of the district heating system in rasi municipality to comply	laşı wunicipality	115255
	with environmental standards regarding the emissions in the atmosphere		
24	and to increase the energy eniciency in the urban heat supply – Stage in		440000
31.	Renabilitation of the district heating system at the level of Ramnicu	Ramnicu vaicea	118892
	Valcea Municipality for the period 2009-28 to comply with environmental	Municipality	
	legislation and increase energy efficiency – Stage II		100000
32.	Renabilitation of the district heating system in Oradea for the period	Oradea	123600
	2009–28 to comply with environmental legislation and increase energy	Municipality	
	efficiency – Stage III		107000
33.	Re-engineering of the centralized district heating system in the	l imișoara	127006
	Municipality of Timişoara to comply with environmental protection	Municipality	
	regulations on air pollutant emissions and to increase efficiency in urban		
00 7	neat supply – Stage II		
SO 7.2	2 – Increasing energy efficiency of district heating system in Bucharest		100110
34.	Rehabilitation of the heating system of Bucharest Municipality	Bucharest	138142
		Municipality	
SO 8.1	I – Increasing the capacity of the national energy system to use energy prod	luced from renewable	resources
35. LEA 400 KV d.c. Gutinas-Smardan Transelectrica 129245			
SO 8.2 – Increasing interconnection capacity of National Transmission System of natural gas (NTS) with other			
neighboring countries			
36.	Developments of NTS in the North-East area of Romania to improve the	Transgaz	122972
	natural gas supply of the area as well as to ensure the transmission		
	capacities to the Republic of Moldova		

Given the current level of implementation (with few projects finalized, recently, which means that outcomes and sustainability of the results cannot be assessed at this stage), the evaluation was mostly

qualitative. It focused on detailed case studies – projects in green highlight above; and a general overview of the projects on each SO, based on interviews and statistical project data from SMIS and internal AM reporting. The data collection methods are highlighted below.

Interviews and focus groups were based on the detailed interview and focus groups guidelines below.

- MA planning and contracting interview (Jan 29, Feb 23)
- SO 6.1 two group interviews, for distribution and production (Feb 24)
- SO 6.3 interview project 114790 (Feb 26)
- SO 6.2 focus group all projects (Mar 2)
- SO 7.1 focus group all projects (Mar 2)
- SO 7.2 interview project 138142 (Mar 3)
- SO 8.1 interview project 129245 (Mar 3)
- SO 6.4 interview project 115900 (Mar 5)

Focus groups were particularly relevant to collect information in a comparable form from a large number of respondents. Focus groups were chosen for projects on which there is a relatively large number of potential beneficiaries (SO 6.2 and 7.1); for SO7.2, SO8.1 and SO8.2., as well as SOs where only one project was in a more advanced implementation stage, in-depth interviews were more appropriate.

Interview guidelines:

Context and	1. What are the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats in the energy sector in	
coherence	erence Romania? (gas, electricity, heating and industrial sector)	
	2. To what extent are LIOP PAs 6, 7, 8 complementary to or coherent with other energy- sector interventions financed from national budgets, EU, or private sources? Are the proposed interventions consistent with other EU programs, in particular energy- efficiency measures in the Regional Operational Program, and national programs such as DH programs?	
	3. Have there been changes in the socioeconomic environment or in policy (national; EU) which affected the relevance of the initially envisaged LIOP interventions? Are the planned objectives relevant to current needs, and initially identified needs still relevant?	
Effectiveness	4. What have been the effects of LIOP interventions? Have they been carried out in line with expectations, and have they produced the expected changes?	
	5. What is the difference between planned and actual performance (namely, in contracting, absorption, implementation, results)?	
	6. Were there delays in achieving planned results and objectives? If yes, what was the cause? (internal vs external factors)	
	7. Have PAs and key areas of interventions been implemented effectively, contributing to OP objectives?	
Efficiency	8. Is the management system functional and operating efficiently, with internal procedures supporting efficient implementation of LIOP?	
	9. How are the relationships with beneficiaries throughout the process?	

	• guidelines for applicants: duration, quality of documents	
	• calls for projects; quality of applications	
	• evaluation process: selection of evaluators, duration, reasons for rejections	
	• contracting and implementation: approvals, processing contracts, amendments,	
	reimbursement; quality of project design; permits; public procurement etc.	
	• monitoring: consistency of indicators with overarching program objectives,	
	compliance etc.	
	• Disclosure: are allocations publicly disclosed, and does feedback and opinions	
	from citizen and relevant stakeholders inform the process?	
	10. Are LIOP interventions cost-efficient compared to similar actions financed from	
	different sources?	
	11. Are available resources sufficient (institutional capacity, personnel, budgets)?	
Results and	12. What are the higher-level causes of different results across PAs?	
spillover effects of interventions	13. Have the foreseen network effects of LIOP interventions been realized? (e.g., scale- up of interventions with other sources of funding)	
	14. Were there unforeseen impacts of LIOP interventions, positive or negative? (e.g., positive would include growth of investments in connected sectors, etc.; negative would include crowding out of private-sector investments, etc.)	
	15. What amendments were needed to the original LIOP interventions and why?	
	16. Which effects can be attributed directly and exclusively to LIOP interventions (as opposed to other actions, policies, market evolution, etc.)?	
Sustainability	17. Are the LIOP interventions sustainable? Will beneficiaries have enough capacity and resources to maintain or even to scale up, etc.?	
	18. Are the results and actions of the LIOP transferable to other similar programs; future EU funding; private sector financing; local and national budgets?	

Focus group guidelines:

Context and coherence	1. What are the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, threats in the energy sector in Romania?
	2. To what extent are LIOP PAs 6, 7, 8 complementary to or coherent and consistent with other energy-sector interventions financed from national budgets, EU, or private sources?
	3. Have there been changes in the socioeconomic environment or in policies at national and EU level which affected the relevance of the initially envisaged LIOP interventions? Are the planned objectives relevant to current needs, and initially identified needs still relevant?
Effectiveness	4. What have been the effects of LIOP interventions? Have they been carried out in line with expectations, and have they produced the expected changes?5. What is the difference between planned and actual performance (namely, in contracting, absorption, implementation, results)?

	6. Were there delays in achieving planned results and objectives? If yes, what was the cause? (internal vs external factors)
	7. Have PAs and key areas of interventions been implemented effectively, contributing to OP objectives?
Efficiency	8. Is the management system functional and operating efficiently, with existing procedures supporting efficient implementation of LIOP?
	9. How are the relationships with beneficiaries throughout the process?
	10. Are LIOP interventions cost-efficient compared to similar actions financed from different sources?
	11. Are available resources sufficient (institutional capacity, personnel, budgets)?
Results and	12. What are the higher-level causes of different results across PAs?
spillover effects of interventions	13. Have the foreseen network and scaling-up effects of LIOP interventions been realized?
	14. Were there unforeseen impacts of LIOP interventions, positive or negative?
	15. What amendments were needed to the original LIOP interventions and why?
	16. Which effects can be attributed directly and exclusively to LIOP interventions (as opposed to other actions, policies, market evolution, etc.)? Have similar effects been observed without LIOP support?
Sustainability	17. Are the LIOP interventions sustainable, with long-term impact?
	18. Are the results and actions of the LIOP transferable to other public policy interventions, including EU funding?

Data used for case studies:

- Project data (beneficiary's application for financing, CBA analysis, latest progress report)
- Project details from LIOP databases (SMIS, internal AM reporting)
- Data collected from beneficiary on the project e.g. maps, list of procurement / financed equipment
- Previous internal World Bank research on specific topics (e.g. renewables; district heating)
- Context data (e.g. policy and strategic documents relevant for each subsector of intervention)