

Table of content

LIST OF ACRONYMS	6
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	7
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	9
1.1. CONTEXT OF THE PROJECT.....	9
1.2. DEFINITION OF EVALUATION CULTURE AND ITS DIMENSION	10
1.3. FIRST ANNUAL MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....	13
1.4. SECOND ANNUAL MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....	14
1.5. CONTENT OF THE REPORT	15
CHAPTER 2 THIRD ANNUAL MEASUREMENT OF EVALUATION CULTURE	16
2.1. REVISED METHODOLOGY	16
2.2. RESULTS AT THE LEVEL OF ECI, DIMENSIONS AND CRITERIA.....	18
2.2.1. <i>Overall results by dimension</i>	18
2.2.2. <i>Results by criteria</i>	19
2.2.3. <i>Results by sub-criteria and indicators</i>	19
2.3. RESULTS BY OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME.....	29
2.4. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE THREE ANNUAL MEASUREMENT RESULTS	30
CHAPTER 3 ANALYSIS OF KAI 1.2 “EVALUATION” OF OPTA	40
3.1. OVERVIEW OF THE METHODOLOGY	40
3.2. IMPACT OF KAI 1.2 ON ECI, DIMENSIONS AND CRITERIA	40
3.3. RESULTS BY CRITERIA	41
3.4. RESULTS BY SUB-CRITERIA AND INDICATORS.....	42
3.5. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE THREE ANNUAL MEASUREMENT RESULTS	49
CHAPTER 4 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	50
ANNEXES	57
ANNEX 1 - DOCUMENTS ANALYZED	58
ANNEX 2 - EVALUATION REPORTS PLANNED THROUGH MEPS AND THEIR AVAILABILITY ON THE EVALUATION LIBRARY	63
ANNEX 3 - MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE DISTRIBUTED TO THE MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH PANEL THROUGH THE E-SURVEY TOOL	73
ANNEX 4 - NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS TO THE E-SURVEY	85
ANNEX 5 - INTERNATIONAL BENCHMARKING QUESTIONNAIRE	86
ANNEX 6 - FOCUS GROUP WITH ASSOCIATION FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION IN ROMANIA (ADER)	87
ANNEX 7 - VALIDATION FOCUS GROUP ON PRELIMINARY MEASUREMENT RESULTS	88
ANNEX 8 - DISSEMINATION EVENT	90
ANNEX 9 - FACTSHEET ON REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (RIA)	92
ANNEX 10 - SCORING METHODOLOGY FOR ICE	95
ANNEX 11 - SCORING METHODOLOGY FOR IMPACT OF KAI 1.2	131

List of Tables and Figures

<i>Figure 1 – The Evaluation Culture Measurement Index Framework</i>	16
<i>Figure 2 - Overall Index and results by dimensions</i>	18
<i>Figure 3 – Results of evaluation culture by criteria</i>	19
<i>Figure 4 – The architecture of the evaluation, by sub criteria</i>	21
<i>Figure 5 – Financial and Human Resources allocated to Evaluation, by sub-criteria</i>	21
<i>Figure 6 – Quality of the monitoring system, by sub-criteria</i>	22
<i>Figure 7 – Evaluation function efficiency and effectiveness, by sub-criteria</i>	23
<i>Figure 8 – Socio-economic data availability and reliability, by sub-criteria</i>	24
<i>Figure 9 – Availability and quality of evaluation expertise, by sub-criteria</i>	25
<i>Figure 10 – Use of evaluation results, by sub-criteria</i>	26
<i>Figure 11 – Networking, by sub-criteria</i>	28
<i>Figure 12 – Civil society and mass media, by sub-criteria</i>	28
<i>Figure 13 – Results by Operational Programme</i>	30
<i>Figure 14 – ECI achieved score – comparison between measurement cycles at dimension level</i>	31
<i>Figure 15 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 1 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	31
<i>Figure 16 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 2 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	32
<i>Figure 17 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 3 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	32
<i>Figure 18 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 4 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	33
<i>Figure 19 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 5 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	34
<i>Figure 20 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 6 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	34
<i>Figure 21 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 7 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	35
<i>Figure 22 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 8 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	35
<i>Figure 23 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 9 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	36
<i>Figure 24 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 10 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	36
<i>Figure 25 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 11 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	37
<i>Figure 26 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 12 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	37
<i>Figure 27 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 13 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	38
<i>Figure 28 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 14 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	38
<i>Figure 29 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 15 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	39
<i>Figure 30 – ECI achieved score under the criterion 16 – comparison between measurement cycles at sub-criteria level</i>	39
<i>Figure 31 – Overall impact of KAI 1.2, by dimensions</i>	41
<i>Figure 32 – Impact of KAI 1.2, by criteria</i>	41
<i>Figure 33 – The architecture of the evaluation: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	42
<i>Figure 34 – Financial and Human Resources allocated to Evaluation: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	43
<i>Figure 35 – Quality of monitoring system: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	43
<i>Figure 36 – Evaluation function efficiency and effectiveness: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	44
<i>Figure 37 – Availability and quality of evaluation expertise: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	45
<i>Figure 38 – Use of evaluation outputs: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	46
<i>Figure 39 – Civil society and mass media: impact of KAI 1.2</i>	48
<i>Figure 40 – KAI achieved score – comparison between measurement cycles at dimension level</i>	49
<i>Figure 41 – Structure of conclusions and recommendations table</i>	50
<i>Figure 42 – Number of respondents to the e-survey</i>	85
<i>Figure 43 - Regulatory policy cycle and better regulation instruments</i>	94

<i>Table 1 - Evaluation Culture and Evaluation Capacity: key elements</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Table 2 – ECI: sources of information</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Table 3 – “Top Performing” and “Least Performing” Criteria</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Table 4 – Impact of KAI 1.2: sources of information</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Table 5 - Conclusions of the annual measurement and recommendations for improvement</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Table 6 – Regulatory Impact Assessment analytical steps</i>	<i>93</i>

List of Acronyms

EC	European Commission
ECI	Evaluation Culture Measurement Index
ECU	Evaluation Central Unit
ESF	European Social Fund
ESIF	European Structural and Investment Funds
ESC	Evaluation Steering Committee
EU	European Union
EQ	Evaluation Question
EWG	Evaluation Working Group
KAI	Key Area of Intervention
IB	Intermediate Bodies
MA	Managing Authority
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MEF	Ministry of European Funds
MU	Measurement Unit
NGO	Non-Government Organisation
NIS	National Institute for Statistics
NSRF	National Strategic Reference Framework
OP	Operational Programme
PA	Partnership Agreement
RIA	Regulatory Impact Assessment