



EVALUAREA POLITICILOR ȘI PROGRAMELOR PUBLICE - READER -

Reader-ul propus este structurat pe următoarele două componente:

I. Teme fundamentale curriculare privind evaluarea politicilor și programelor publice:

1. Abordări teoretice ale evaluării;
2. Sisteme ale Evaluării;
3. Evaluarea Programelor;
4. Decizia în evaluarea politicilor și programelor publice

II. Teme transversale privind evaluarea politicilor și programelor publice

1. Aspecte de Etică și Standarde Profesionale în Evaluarea Politicilor și programelor publice
2. Aspecte privind competențele evaluatorilor
3. Programe și experiențe universitare

Fiecare temă fundamentală conține câteva subteme specifice, unde sunt recomandate capitole din principalele monografii, manuale, compendii, precum și articole din revistele de specialitate. Totodată, au fost incluse și câteva ghiduri de evaluare elaborate de instituțiile internaționale sau supra-statale, dar și de organizațiile naționale și internaționale din domeniul evaluării programelor și politicilor publice.

Temele transversale înglobează abordări și practici de calitate specifice diverselor sisteme și rețele naționale și internaționale din domeniul evaluării politicilor și programelor publice. Această parte va fi utilă mai ales pentru aplicările practice în procesul definitivării programelor universitare oferite, precum și în procesul de înfăptuire a evaluărilor de politici și programe publice.

I. TEME FUNDAMENTALE CURRICULARE PRIVIND EVALUAREA POLITICILOR ȘI PROGRAMELOR PUBLICE:

Tema: ABORDĂRI TEORETICE ALE EVALUĂRII

1. Evaluare și guvernare

- Adrian Miroiu, „Ce este analiza politicilor?” în Introducere în analiza politicilor publice, Editura Punct, București, 2001, pag. 38 – 63 (25 p);
- Wayne Parsons, „Rational decision-making and the quest for knowledgeable governance” în Public Policy: An introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis, Edward Elgar Publishing, London, 1995, pag. 427 – 432 (5 p);
- Patrick Dunleavy, Brendan O’Leary, „Neopluralismul”, în Teoriile statului: politica democrației liberale, Editura Epigraf, Chișinău, 2002, pag. 246-287 (41 p);
- Anders Hanberger, „Evaluation of and for Democracy”, în Evaluation Jan 2006, Vol 12; Issue 1, pag.17-37 (20 p);
- Patria De Lancer Julnes, „Performance Measurement: An Effective Tool for Government Accountability? The Debate Goes On” în Evaluation 2006; Vol 12; Issue 2, pag. 219-235 (16 p);

2. Teorii ale evaluării politicilor și programelor publice

- Iris Geva-May, Pal Leslie. A., „Good Fences Make Good Neighbours” în Evaluation, Jul 1999, Vol. 5 Issue 3, pag. 259-277 (19p);
- Adrian Miroiu, „Evaluarea politicilor publice” în Introducere în analiza politicilor publice, Editura Punct, București, 2001, pag. 166-192 (26 p);
- Huey-Tsyh Chen, „The Theory-Driven Perspective”, în Theory-Driven Evaluations, Sage Publications, 1990, pag. 36-86 (50 p);
- Peter van der Knaap, „Theory-Based Evaluation and Learning: Possibilities and Challenges”, în Evaluation, 2004, Vol. 10 Issue 1, pag. 16-34 (18 p);



- Nicoletta Stame, „Theory-Based Evaluation and Types of Complexity”, în Evaluation, 2004, Vol. 10 Issue 1, pag. 58-76 (18 p);

3. Tipuri de abordări ale evaluării

- Wayne Parsons, „Evaluation”, în Public Policy: An introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis, Edward Elgar Publishing, London, 1995, pag. 542-568 (26 p);
- George A. Boyne, Catherine Farrell, Jennifer Law, Martin Powell, Richard M. Walkner, „Methods of Evaluation”, în Evaluating public management reforms: principles and practice, Open University Press, 2003, pag. 28 – 48 (20 p);
- Wolfgang Beywl, Philip Potter, „RENOMO--A Design Tool for Evaluations: Designing Evaluations REsponsive to Stakeholders' Interests by Working with NOminal Groups using the MOderation Method”, în Evaluation, 1998, Vol. 4, Issue 1, pag. 53-71 (18 p);
- Andreas Balthasar, „The Effects of Institutional Design on the Utilization of Evaluation: Evidenced Using Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)”, în Evaluation, 2006, Vol. 12, Issue 3, pag. 353-371 (18 p);

4. Modele de evaluare

- Daniel L. Stufflebeam, Anthony J. Shinkfield, Evaluation Theory, Models and Applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2007, cap. 15, 16, 17, 18, pag. 325-449 (124 p)
- Evert Vedung, „Models of Evaluation”, în Public Policy and Program Evaluation, Transaction Publishers, 2005, pag. 35 – 92 (57 p);
- Salvador Chancon-Mascoso, M. Teresa Auguera-Argilaga, Jose Antonio Perez-Gil and F. Pablo Holgado-Tello, „A Mutual Catalytic Model of Formative Evaluation: The Interdependent Roles of Evaluators and Local Programme Practitioners”, în Evaluation, 2002, Vol. 8, Issue 4, pag. 413-232 (19 p);
- Tom A. J. Sefton, „Economic Evaluation in the Social Welfare Field: Making Ends Meet”, în Evaluation, 2003, Vol. 9, Issue 1, pag. 73-91 (18 p);
- Daniele Bondonio, „Evaluating Decentralized Policies: A Method to Compare the Performance of Economic Development Programmes Across Different Regions or States”, în Evaluation, 2002, Vol. 8, Issue 1, pag. 101-124 (23 p);
- August Osterle, „Evaluating Equity in Social Policy: A Framework for Comparative Analysis”, în Evaluation, 2002, Vol. 8, Issue 1, pag. 46-59 (13 p);
- Paul Ekins, James Medhurst, „Meta-Evaluation as a Tool for Learning: A Case Study of the European Structural Fund Evaluations in Finland”, în Evaluation, 2000, Vol. 6, Issue 1, pag. 50-65 (15 p);

Tema: SISTEME DE EVALUARE

1. Importanța evaluării în politicile publice

- Carol Hirschon Weiss, „The Interface Between Evaluation and Public Policy”, în Evaluation, 1999, Vol. 5, Issue 4, pag. 468-486 (18 p);
- Ian Sanderson, „Evaluation in Complex Policy Systems”, în Evaluation, 2000, Vol. 6, Issue 4, pag. 433-454 (21 p);

2. Evaluarea instituțiilor și structurilor

- Robert Schwartz, John Mayne, „Assuring the quality of evaluative information: theory and practice”, în Evaluation and Program Planning, 2005, Vol. 28, pag. 1-14 (14 p);
- Hallie S. Preskill, Rosalie T. Torres, „Building Capacity for Organizational Learning Through Evaluative Inquiry”, în Evaluation, 1999, Vol. 5, Issue 1, pag. 42-60 (18 p);
- Riitta Seppänen-Järvelä, „How to promote organizational development through internal process-evaluation?”, în The Evaluation Supplement in Administrative Studies, 2002, Issue 4, pag. 88-95 (7 p);
- Ernest R. House, Carolyn Haug, Nigel Norris, „Producing Evaluations in a Large Bureaucracy”, în Evaluation, 1996, Vol. 2, Issue 2, pag. 135-150 (15 p);
- John M. Owen, Faye C. Lambert, „Roles for Evaluation in Learning Organizations”, în Evaluation, 1995, Vol. 1, Issue 2, pag. 237-250 (13 p);



- Derek Cabrera, Laura Colosi, Claire Lobdell, „Systems thinking”, în Evaluation and Program Planning, 2008, Vol. 31, pag. 299-310 (11 p);

3. Evaluarea în spațiul european

- Thomas Widmer, Peter Neuenschwander, „Embedding Evaluation in the Swiss Federal Administration: Purpose, Institutional Design and Utilization”, în Evaluation, 2004, Vol. 10, Issue 4, pag. 388-409 (21 p);
- Frans L. Leeuw, „Evaluation in Europe 2000: Challenges to a Growth Industry”, în Evaluation, 2002, Vol. 8, Issue 1, pag. 5-12 (7 p);
- Frédéric Varone, Steve Jacob, Lieven De Winter, „Polity, Politics and Policy Evaluation in Belgium”, în Evaluation, 2005, Vol. 11, Issue 3, pag. 253-273 (20 p);
- Jenny Hyatt, Helen Simons, „Cultural Codes - Who Holds the Key?: The Concept and Conduct of Evaluation in Central and Eastern Europe”, în Evaluation, 1999, Vol. 5, Issue 1, pag. 23-41 (18 p);
- Elliot Stern, „What Shapes European Evaluation? A Personal Reflection”, în Evaluation, 2004, Vol. 10, Issue 1, pag. 7-15 (8 p);
- Gail Birkbeck, „Policy and programme evaluation in europe: culture and prospects”, comunicare susținută în cadrul Simpozionului „Policy and programme evaluation in Europe: Cultures and prospects” din 3-4 iulie 2008 de la Strasbourg, Sesiunea 6;

4. Abordarea Uniunii Europene

- „Responding to Strategic Needs: Reinforcing the use of evaluation”, Commission of the European Communities, Brussels, 21 February 2007, SEC(2007)213;
- „Indicative Guidelines on Evaluation Methods: Ex ante Evaluation” Working Document No. 1, European Commission, DG Regional Policy, Thematic development , impact, evaluation and innovative actions: Evaluation and additionality, August 2006;
- „Indicative Guidelines on Evaluation Methods: Monitoring and Evaluation Indicators” Working Document No. 2, European Commission, DG Regional Policy, Thematic development , impact, evaluation and innovative actions: Evaluation and additionality, August 2006;
- „Orientări privind metodologia de realizare a analizei costuri-beneficii” Documentul de lucru nr. 4, Comisia Europeană, DG Politică Regională, Dezvoltare tematică, impact, evaluare și acțiuni inovatoare: Evaluare și adiționalitate, August 2006;
- „Indicative Guidelines on Evaluation Methods: Evaluation during the programming period” Working Document No. 5, European Commission, DG Regional Policy, Thematic development , impact, evaluation and innovative actions: Evaluation and additionality, April 2007;
- „Indicative Guidelines on Evaluation Methods: Measuring Structural Funds Employment Effects” Working Document No. 6, European Commission, DG Regional Policy, Thematic development , impact, evaluation and innovative actions: Evaluation and additionality, March 2007;
- The New Programming period 2000-2006: methodological working papers, Working Paper 7: „Ex Ante Evaluation and Indicators for INTERREG (Strand A and B)”, European Commission, DG Regional Policy, Conception, impact, co-ordination and evaluation, October 2000;
- Impact assessment guidelines, European Commission, 15 June 2005, SEC(2005) 791
- Annexes to impact assessment guidelines, European Commission, 15 June 2005
- „Evaluation of mainstreaming equal opportunities for women and men in measures cofinanced by the ESF”, European Commission, Employment and Social Affairs DG, Horizontal and International Issues: Evaluation, Doc. 210602-011-EN, June 2002;
- A strategic review of Better Regulation in the European Union, Commission of the European Communities, Brussels, 14.11.2006, COM(2006) 689 final;
- Final Report on the framework to analyse the development of evaluation capacity in the EU member states, 2nd edition, Contract No.2006.CE16.0.AT.023, 11 september 2007;
- Evaluation of the EU Institutions & Member States' Mechanisms for Promoting Policy Coherence for Development, Studies in European Development Co-operation Evaluation No 7, European Centre for Development Policy Management (ECDPM), Maastricht Comptense Institute of International Studies (ICEI), Madrid PARTICIP GmbH, Freiburg, European Union, July 2007;



5. Abordarea Băncii Mondiale

- Mita Marra, „How Much Does Evaluation Matter?: Some Examples of the Utilization of the Evaluation of the World Bank's Anti-Corruption Activities”, în *Evaluation*, 2000, Vol. 6, Issue 1, pag. 22-36 (14 p);
- Pieter Stek, „Evaluation at the World Bank and Implications for Bilateral Donors”, în *Evaluation*, 2003, Vol. 9, Issue 4, pag. 491-497 (6 p);
- „Influential Evaluations: Detailed Case Studies”, *Operations Evaluation Department (OED), World Bank*, Washington, D.C. , January 2005;
- Michael Bamberger, „Conducting Quality Impact Evaluation under Budget, Time and Data Constraints” *The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank*, 2006;

6. Abordarea UNDP

- *Guidelines for Outcome Evaluators, Monitoring and Evaluation Companion Series #1, United Nations Development Programme, Evaluation Office 2002;*
- *Handbook on Monitoring and Evaluating for Results, United Nations Development Programme, Evaluation Office 2002;*
- *UNDP Programming Manual, Chapter 7: Monitoring, Reporting and Evaluation, United Nations Development Programme, Evaluation Office 2002;*
- *Managing for Results: Monitoring and Evaluation in UNDP, A Results-Oriented Framework, United Nations Development Programme, Evaluation Office 2001;*
- *Guidelines for an Assessment of Development Result (ADR), United Nations Development Programme, Evaluation Office 2007;*

8. Abordarea OECD (DAC Network)

- *Guidance for Managing Joint Evaluations, DAC Evaluation Series, OECD, 2006;*
- *Guidance for Evaluating Humanitarian Assistance in Complex Emergencies, Evaluation and Aid Effectiveness – Nr. 1, OECD, 1999;*
- *Evaluating Country Programmes, Vienna Workshop 1999, Evaluation and Aid Effectiveness – Nr. 2, OECD, 1999;*
- *Effective Practices in Conducting a Multi-Donor Evaluation, Evaluation and Aid Effectiveness – Nr. 4, OECD, 2002;*
- *Glossary of Key Terms in Evaluation and Results Based Management, Evaluation and Aid Effectiveness – Nr. 6, OECD, 2002;*
- *Review of the DAC Principles for Evaluation of Development Assistance, OECD, 1998;*
- *Principles for Evaluation of Development Assistance, OECD, 1991;*

9. Abordarea Sida

- Kim Forss, Evert Vedung, Stein Erik Kruse, Agnes Mwaiselage, Anna Nilsson, *Are Sida Evaluations Good Enough? An Assessment of 34 Evaluation Reports, Sida Studies in Evaluation 2008:01, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 2008;*
- Stefan Dahlgren, *Evaluations of Country Strategies. An Overview of Experiences and a Proposal for Shaping Future Country Programme Evaluations, Sida Studies in Evaluation 2007:04, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 2007;*
- Gus Edgren, *Stronger Evaluation Partnerships. The Way to Keep Practice Relevant, Sida Studies in Evaluation 2004:01, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 2004;*
- Goran Schill, *The Management of Results Information at Sida. Proposals for agency routines and priorities in the information age, Sida Studies in Evaluation 2001:01, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 2001;*
- Jerker Carlsson, Maria Eriksson-Baaz, Ann Marie Fallenius, Eva Lövgren, *Are Evaluation Useful? Cases from Swedish Development Co-operation, Sida Studies in Evaluation 1999:01, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 1999;*
- Jerker Carlsson, Kim Fross, Karin Metell, Lisa Segnestam, Tove Stromberg, *Using the Evaluation Tool. A survey of conventional wisdom and common practice at Sida, Sida Studies in Evaluation 1997:01, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 1997;*
- Anders Rudqvist, Prudence Woodford-Berger, *Evaluation and Participation- some lessons,*



- Sida Studies in Evaluation 1996:01, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, Stockholm, 1996;
- Sida's Evaluation Policy, Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency, Department for Evaluation and Internal Audit, 1999;

10. Alte Abordări

- CIDA Evaluation Guide, Evaluation Division Performance, Review Branch, Canadian International Development Agency, 2004;
- ***, Guidance on Evaluation and Review for DFID Staff, DFID Evaluation Department, London 2005;
- John Gray, Evaluation of DFID country programmes. Country study: Romania 1997-2003, DFID Evaluation Department, EV 655, London 2004;
- Manualul pentru Evaluarea Dezvoltării Socio-Economice, Institutul Tavistock, 2003;

Tema: EVALUAREA PROGRAMELOR

1. Cadrul conceptual al evaluării programelor

- Huey-Tsyh Chen, Practical Program Evaluation: assessing and improving planning, implementation and effectiveness, cap. 1 și cap. 2, Sage Publications, 2005, pag. 15-70 (55 p);
- Miri Levin-Rozalis, „Abduction: A Logical Criterion For Programme and Project Evaluation”, în Evaluation, 2000, Vol. 6, Issue 4, pag. 415-432 (17 p);
- Hanne Foss Hansen, „Choosing Evaluation Models: A Discussion on Evaluation Design”, în Evaluation, 2005, Vol. 11, Issue 4, pag. 447-462 (15 p);
- Jos Vaessen, „Programme Theory Evaluation, Multicriteria Decision Aid and Stakeholder Values: A Methodological Framework”, în Evaluation, 2006, Vol. 12, Issue 4, pag. 397-417 (20 p);
- Vincent N. Campbell, „Setting Priorities among Objectives”, în Policy Analysis, 1977, Vol. 3, Issue 4, pag. 561-579 (18 p);
- Abdul Khakee, „The Emerging Gap between Evaluation Research and Practice”, în Evaluation, 2003, Vol. 9, Issue 3, pag. 340-352 (12 p);

2. Realizarea evaluării

- John A. McLaughlin, Gretchen B. Jordan “Using Logic Models”, în Joseph S. Wholey, Harry P. Hatry, Kathryn E. Newcomer (eds.) Handbook of practical evaluation, Jossey-Bass Publishing, 2004, pag. 7-32 (25 p);
- Arnold Love “Implementation Evaluation”, în Joseph S. Wholey, Harry P. Hatry, Kathryn E. Newcomer (eds.) Handbook of practical evaluation, Jossey-Bass Publishing, 2004, pag. 63-97 (25 p);
- Harry P. Hatry, Kathryn E. Newcomer, “Pitfalls of Evaluation”, în Joseph S. Wholey, Harry P. Hatry, Kathryn E. Newcomer (eds.) Handbook of practical evaluation, Jossey-Bass Publishing, 2004, pag. 547-570 (23 p);
- Laurie Stevahn, Jean A. King, „Managing Conflict Constructively in Program Evaluation”, în Evaluation, 2005, Vol. 11, Issue 4, pag. 415-427 (12 p);
- Robert C. Saunders, Craig Anne Heflinger, „Integrating Data from Multiple Public Sources: Opportunities and Challenges for Evaluators”, în Evaluation, 2004, Vol. 10, Issue 3, pag. 349-365 (16 p);

Tema: DECIZIA ÎN EVALUAREA POLITICILOR ȘI PROGRAMELOR PUBLICE

1. Teorii ale deciziei în intervențiile publice

- Wayne Parsons, „Policy analysis and public decisions”, în Public Policy: An introduction to the theory and practice of policy analysis, Edward Elgar Publishing, London, 1995, pag. 380 – 427 (47 p);
- Evert Vedung, “Characterizing the public intervention”, în Public Policy and Program Evaluation, Transaction Publishers, 2005, pag. 121 – 136 (15 p);
- Aidan R. Vining, David L. Weimer, “Limite ale intervenției publice: eșecuri ale organelor administrației publice”, în Analiza Politicilor Publice: concepte și practică, Editura Arc, Chișinău, 2004, pag. 192-236 (44 p);



- Petrus Kautto, Jukka Sipilä, „Recently Introduced Policy Instruments and Intervention Theories”, în *Evaluation*, 2005, Vol. 11, Issue 1, pag. 55-68 (13 p);
- Patricia J. Rogers, „Using Programme Theory to Evaluate Complicated and Complex Aspects of Interventions”, în *Evaluation*, 2008, Vol. 14, Issue 1, pag. 29-48 (19 p);

2. Modele ale deciziei

- Jonathan Bendor, „Formal Models of Bureaucracy”, in *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 18, No. 3, Jul., 1988, pag. 353-395 (42 p);
- Michael D. Cohen, James G. March, Johan P. Olsen, „A Garbage Can Model of Organizational Choice”, in *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 17, No. 1, Mar., 1972, pag. 1-25 (25 p);
- Patrick Dunleavy, The Bureau-Shaping Model, in P. Dunleavy, *Democracy, Bureaucracy and Public Choice* (1991), Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf, pag. 174-209 (35 p);
- Amitai Etzioni, „Authority Structure and Organizational Effectiveness” in *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 4, No. 1, Jun., 1959, pag. 43-67 (24 p);
- Amitai Etzioni, „Two Approaches to Organizational Analysis: A Critique and a Suggestions”, in *Administrative Science Quarterly*, Vol. 5, No. 2, Sep., 1960, pag. 257-278 (21 p);
- Charles E. Lindblom, „Policy Analysis” in *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 48, No. 3, Jun., 1958, pag. 298-312 (14 p);
- Charles E. Lindblom, „Bargaining power” in Price and Power Determination” in *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 62, No. 3, May., 1948, pag. 396-417 (21 p);
- James G. March, „Bounded Rationality, Ambiguity, and the Engineering of Choice” in *The Bell Journal of Economics*, Vol. 9, No. 2, Autumn, 1978, pag. 587-608, (21 p);
- Adrian Miroiu, „Rationalitate și decizie” in *Introducere în analiza politicilor publice*, Editura Punct, București, 2001, pag. 115 – 140 (25 p);
- Amartya Sen, „Maximization and the Act of Choice”, in *Econometrica*, Vol. 65, No. 4, Jul., 1997, pag. 745-779 (34 p);
- Herbert A. Simon, „A Behavioral Model of Rational Choice”, in *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 69, No. 1, Feb., 1955, pag. 99-118 (19 p);
- Herbert A. Simon, „Rational Decision Making in Business Organizations” in *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 69, No. 4, Sep., 1979, pp. 493-513 (20 p);
- Herbert A. Simon, „The Logic of Rational Decision”, in *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, Vol. 16, No. 63, Nov., 1965, pag. 169-186 (17 p);
- Aaron Wildavsky, „The Analysis of Issue-Contexts in the Study of Decision-Making” in *The Journal of Politics*, Vol. 24, No. 4, Nov., 1962, pag. 717-732 (15 p);

3. Metode de luare a deciziilor în evaluarea politicilor și programelor publice: studiu de caz CBA

- Anthony E. Boardman, David H. Greenberg, Aidan R. Vining, David L. Weimer, „*Introducere în analiza cost-beneficiu*” și „*Concepte de bază ale analizei cost-beneficiu*”, în *Analiza Cost-Beneficiu: concepte și practică*, Editura Arc, 2004, Chișinău, pag. 1 – 61 (61 p);
- Ernest R. House, „The Limits of Cost Benefit Evaluation”, în *Evaluation*, 2000, Vol. 6, Issue 1, pag. 79-86 (7 p);

II. TEME TRANSVERSALE PRIVIND EVALUAREA POLITICILOR ȘI PROGRAMELOR PUBLICE:

1. Aspecte de Etică și Standarde Profesionale în Evaluarea Politicilor și programelor publice

- Jenny Neale, John M. Owen, Debbie Small, „Encouraging the use of codes of behaviour in evaluation practice”, în *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 2003, Vol. 26, pag. 29-36 (7 p);
- Thomas A. Schwandt, „Expanding the conversation on evaluation ethics”, în *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 2007, Vol. 30, pag. 400-403 (3 p);
- Francis J. Schweigert, „The priority of justice: A framework approach to ethics in program evaluation”, în *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 2007, Vol. 30, pag. 394 - 399 (5 p);
- Marlis Krichewsky, Jean-Louis Le Grand, „Pour qui roule l'intervenant évaluateur?: Un questionnaire sur l'éthique de l'intervention en organisation”, comunicare susținută în cadrul



Simpozionului „Policy and programme evaluation in Europe: Cultures and prospects” din 3-4 iulie 2008 de la Strasbourg, Sesiunea 6;

- David Turner, „Evaluation Ethics and Quality Results of a Survey of Australasian Evaluation Society Members”, AES Ethics Committee, November, 2003;
- Heather Buchanan, Brigitte Maicher, Keiko Kuji-Shikatan, „Professional Designation Project - Comparison of Evaluation Ethics”, CES Professional Designation Core Committee, Version 1: January 31, 2008;
- Wolfgang Beywl (ed.), “Selected Comments to the Standards for Evaluation of the German Evaluation Society”, the German Evaluation Society, 2003;
- Charter of evaluation guiding principles for public policies and programmes, French Evaluation Society (SFE), 2003;
- Guidelines for the ethical conduct of evaluations, Australasian Evaluation Society;
- Guiding Principles for Evaluators, The American Evaluation Association (AEA), short version;
- Guiding Principles for Evaluators, The American Evaluation Association (AEA), long version;
- AES Policy on the Application of the Code of Ethics, AES Ethics Committee, Australasian Evaluation Society, 2000;
- Code of Ethics, Australasian Evaluation Society;
- Professional Ethics and Standards for the Evaluation Community in the Government of Canada, the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat, 2006;
- Thomas Widmer, Charles Landert, Nicole Bachmann, “Evaluation Standards of SEVAL”, the Swiss Evaluation Society, (SEVAL Standards), December 2000;
- Good Practice Guidelines, UK Evaluation Society;
- Evaluation Standards, Polish Evaluation Society, July, 2008;
- Communication for the Commission Evaluation Standards and Good Practice, European Commission, com2002_5267;
- DAC Evaluation Quality Standards, DAC Evaluation Series, OECD, 2006;
- Codul Etic al Evaluatoilor din România - versiune în lucru ce include o anexă cu note explicative, elaborat în cadrul proiectului „Dezvoltarea unei Comunități de Evaluare Profesioniște” (pe scurt, DoPEC), București 2008;

2. Aspecte privind competențele evaluatorilor

- Laurie Stevahn, Jean A. King, Gail Ghere and Jane Minnema (2005) „Establishing Essential Competencies for Program Evaluators”, American Journal of Evaluation 2005; 26, 43;
- Guidelines for Education and Training in Evaluation: requirement profiles for evaluators, elaborat de DeGEval;
- Joe O'Hara and Gerry McNamara, „Evaluation: Business or Vocation?”, în Evaluation, 1999, Vol. 5, Issue 4, pag. 497-502 (5 p);
- Jean A. King, Essential Competencies for Program Evaluators, prezentare

3. Programe și experiențe universitare

- Peter Maats, Stefanie Kihm (2008) Professionalisation of Evaluation in Germany. Experiences and perspectives from Germany's first Master Programme since 2004, comunicare susținută în cadrul Simpozionului „Policy and programme evaluation in Europe: Cultures and prospects” din 3-4 iulie 2008 de la Strasbourg, Sesiunea 6;
- Charles D. Cary, „An Introductory Course in Evaluation Research”, Policy Analysis, 1977, Vol. 3. Issue 3, pag. 429 - 444 (15 p);
- Wolfgang Beywl, Katja Harich, „University-Based Continuing Education in Evaluation: The Baseline in Europe”, în Evaluation, 2007, Vol. 13, Issue 1, pag. 121-134 (13 p);
- Laura C Leviton, Charles B. Collins, Beverly L. Laird, Polly P. Kratt, „Teaching Evaluation Using Evaluability Assessment”, în Evaluation, 1998, Vol. 4, Issue 4, pag. 389-409 (20 p);